

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/767,123	MARGILOFF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MILA AIRAPETIAN	3625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MILA AIRAPETIAN. (3) _____

(2) Mr. Buckley. (4) _____

Date of interview: 21 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Independent claims 1 and 11 will be amended to include "locally and dynamically calculating, by the user device, an adjusted screen display position, wherein a user screen display comprises a two-dimensional area having an x-axis and a y-axis and the default location information is adjusted along at least one of the x or y axis" into the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mila Airapetian/
Examiner, Art Unit 3625